

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/584,254	Applicant(s) TAKADA, AKINARI
O P E R A T I O N S FEB 17 2009 U.S. PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE	Examiner KIET DOAN	Art Unit 2617

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) KIET DOAN. (3) _____
 (2) Glenn Law. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 September 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 11.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed with Applicant's Representatives issues relating to claim 11 and Applicant's Rep. agrees to amended claim 11 in order to coordinate with the rest of the independent claim and getting application advance to allow. However, the office review the IDS and found claim can be rejected.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kiet Doan/
Examiner, Art Unit 2617